

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,744	03/01/2000	YVES TROUILHET	AD6530	9833
23906	7590 06/18/2002			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			EXAMINER	
			HON, SOW FUN	
4417 LANCA WILMINGTO	ASTER PIKE DN, DE 19805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1772	-
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	_	1/1F=-
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/486,744	TROUILHET, YVI	ES
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	-	Sow-Fun Hon	1772	
-	- The MAILING DATE of this communication			ldress
Period fo	r Reply			
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sixply received by the Office later than three months after the model of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th viriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 March 2002 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al		atters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims			
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)□ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∐ T	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa ee the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	·		l application)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	been received.	.F.E222.1.
Attachment	•	iodio priority undor do 0.0.c	JJ IEV WIIWIVI IEI.	
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) eation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and 103(a) rejections of claims 1-7 in Paper # 5 (mailed 12/20/01) have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in Paper # 6 (filed 03/26/02).

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In independent claim 1, it is unclear how the oxygen barrier property in units of cc/m².day.atm corresponds with the one in units of cc-mil/100 in².day.atm, and how the water vapor barrier property of g/m².day at 38 °C and 90 % relative humidity corresponds to the one in units of g-mil/100 in².day at 23 °C and 95 % relative humidity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks et al.

Parks et al. teaches a paperboard laminate wherein an embodiment shows a laminate (sandwich structure) of tie layer/amorphous nylon/adhesive (tie) layer coextruded onto the inner surface of the paperboard (column 3, lines 15-20) and thus reads on the packaging material of

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

Art Unit: 1772

both claims 5 and 6 since the final laminate is the same whether layers (b layer of grafted ethylene copolymer) and (c layer of amorphous nylon) are individually extruded or coextruded onto layer (a of paper). Parks et al. teaches that the adhesive (tie) layer is an anhydride (maleic) grafted (modified) ethylene (ethyl/methyl/butyl) acrylate with a basis weight of 3.2 to 13 g/m². Parks et al. teaches that the claimed ethylene vinyl acetate, ethylene-acid copolymer adhesive materials are well known in the art as demonstrated by the cited patents incorporated by reference (column 4, lines 45-60).

The amorphous nylon is preferred due to its being suitable for coextrusion coating (column 4, lines 35-45) and that the basis weight is 6.5 to 60 g/m² (4-12 lbs/ream). Parks et al. teaches that nylon 6 and nylon 66 are individually unsuitable (column 4, lines 4, lines 40-45), suggesting to one of ordinary skill in the art that the crystallinity should be disrupted by blending with the amorphous nylon for a desired variation in physical properties. Because Parks teaches that the amorphous nylon Selar PA 3426 has an oxygen permeability of 0.24 cc.mil/100 in².day.atm (column 7, lines 35-55), it is the examiner's position that the claimed oxygen barrier property in terms of cc/m².day.atm is met by the laminate comprising amorphous nylon of Parks.

Furthermore, it is the examiner's position that the claimed water vapor barrier between 100 and 1000 g/at 38 °C and 90 % relative humidity is also met by the laminate comprising amorphous nylon of Parks.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks et al. as applied to claims 1-4, 6-7 above, and further in view of Zabrocki.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

Art Unit: 1772

Parks et al. teaches the packaging material, but fails to teach that the adhesive layer of ethylene copolymer further comprises up to 40 weight % of a copolyether, copolyetheramide or a polyurethane thermoplastic.

Zabrocki teaches adhesives which have unexpected synergistic increase in strength values over those of the individual components and yet are coextrudable (column 9, lines 30-60). The adhesive blends comprise from about 20 to about 80 weight percent thermoplastic polyurethane and from about 5 to about 50 weight percent of modified polyolefin (column 3, lines 40-45) wherein the modified polyolefin is taught to be graft olefin copolymers, a specific example being a maleic anhydride grafted ethylene/vinyl acetate copolymer blend (column 11, lines 1-30). Zabrocki teaches that the blends are flexible, have high tensile and tear strength, with good adhesion to a wide variety of plastics, useful in plastic laminating (column 9, lines 60-68).

Because Zabrocki teaches that the blends are flexible, have high tensile and tear strength, with good adhesion to a wide variety of plastics, useful in plastic laminating, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the claimed adhesive blend of polyurethane thermoplastic and maleic anhydride grafted ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer of Zabrocki as the adhesive layer in the invention of Parks et al. in order to obtain a flexible laminate packaging material with good interlaminate adhesion.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/486,744

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

8H 06/10/02

> HAROLD PYON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

6/14/02